

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS:

Allison M. Macfarlane, Chairman  
Kristine L. Svinicki  
George Apostolakis  
William D. Magwood, IV  
William C. Ostendorff

---

In the Matter of )

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY )

(High-Level Waste Repository) )

---

) Docket No. 63-001  
)  
)  
)  
)

**CLI-14-01**

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

In response to the writ of mandamus issued by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, we recently issued a decision and companion Staff Requirements Memorandum setting forth the course of action we selected to continue the licensing process for the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain high-level radioactive waste repository.<sup>1</sup> The State of Nevada requests that we clarify certain aspects of the decision and the SRM; Nye County, Nevada, the States of South Carolina and Washington, Aiken County, South Carolina, and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (together, the "Five Parties") seek

---

<sup>1</sup> See generally CLI-13-8, 78 NRC \_\_ (Nov. 18, 2013) (slip op.); Staff Requirements—SECY-13-0113—Memorandum and Order Concerning Resumption of Yucca Mountain Licensing Process (Nov. 18, 2013) (ADAMS accession no. ML13322A007) (SRM); *In re Aiken County*, 725 F.3d 255 (D.C. Cir. 2013).

reconsideration of certain aspects of our decision.<sup>2</sup> As discussed below, we deny both requests.

## I. DISCUSSION

We undertook CLI-13-8 and the companion SRM pursuant to our inherent authority to supervise the Staff's work and adjudicatory proceedings relating to license applications.<sup>3</sup> Our authority to reconsider or clarify such a decision, if needed, is likewise inherent in our authority to render the decision in the first instance.<sup>4</sup>

As we stated in CLI-13-8, the course of action that we approved to resume the Yucca Mountain licensing process constitutes the next logical steps in that process. These actions, principally completion of the safety evaluation report (SER) and completion of a supplemental environmental impact statement, are intended to advance the process "in a manner that is constructive and consistent with the court's decision and the resources available."<sup>5</sup> We have

---

<sup>2</sup> *State of Nevada Petition for Clarification of November 18, 2013 Restart Order and Related Staff Requirements Memorandum* (Nov. 27, 2013) (Nevada Petition); *Request for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration of Memorandum and Order* (Nov. 27, 2013), and *Motion for Reconsideration of Memorandum and Order* (Nov. 27, 2013) (Five Parties Motion). We received three answers to the requests. *Five Parties' Answer to Nevada's Petition for Clarification of Restart Order and Staff Requirements Memorandum* (Dec. 9, 2013); *State of Nevada Consolidated Answers to (1) Five Parties' Request for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration and (2) Five Parties' Motion for Reconsideration of Commission's November 18, 2013 Restart Order* (Dec. 9, 2013); *NRC Staff Answer to Petition for Clarification and Response to Motion for Reconsideration* (Dec. 9, 2013) (Staff Answer).

<sup>3</sup> CLI-13-8, 78 NRC at \_\_\_ (slip op. at 6) (citing *Shieldalloy Metallurgical Corp.* (Decommissioning of the Newfield, New Jersey Site), CLI-13-6, 78 NRC \_\_\_ (Aug. 5, 2013) (slip op.); *AmerGen Energy Co., LLC* (Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station), CLI-08-23, 68 NRC 461, 476 (2008); and *Pacific Gas & Electric Co.* (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-02-23, 56 NRC 230, 237 (2002)).

<sup>4</sup> *Florida Power & Light Co.* (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2), CLI-80-41, 12 NRC 650, 652 (1980) (citing *Trujillo v. Gen. Elec. Co.*, 621 F.2d 1084, 1086 (10th Cir. 1980)).

<sup>5</sup> CLI-13-8, 78 NRC at \_\_\_ (slip op. at 9).

considered Nevada's and the Five Parties' requests.<sup>6</sup> As discussed below, we do not find that our decisions require revision or clarification.

**A. Nevada's Petition for Clarification**

Nevada seeks clarification that, should the adjudication be restarted and discovery resume, we will adjust the milestone for the proceeding calling for completion of discovery sixty days after the SER is issued.<sup>7</sup> In CLI-13-8, we declined to consider various requests related to the adjudication in view of our decision to continue to hold the adjudication in abeyance. As we stated there, should the adjudication re-commence at a future time, "participants will have the opportunity to re-submit requests associated with the conduct of the proceeding at that time."<sup>8</sup> Additional potential deviations from the schedule in 10 C.F.R. Part 2, Appendix D associated with the adjudication—including the one raised by Nevada here—would be appropriately addressed at that time. No participant will be unfairly prejudiced.

Nevada also seeks clarification of the SRM. In particular, Nevada requests that we clarify our direction that the NRC staff, in carrying out the directions in CLI-13-8, "adopt work previously completed as a first principle."<sup>9</sup> Nevada states its concern that the phrase "work previously completed" can be interpreted to imply a judgment that all work relevant to the safety

---

<sup>6</sup> The Staff objects to the Five Parties' request on procedural grounds, citing provisions relevant to adjudications in 10 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart C. Staff Answer at 5-7. Our rules provide that a motion for reconsideration "must demonstrate a compelling circumstance, such as the existence of a clear and material error in a decision, which could not have been reasonably anticipated, which renders the decision invalid." 10 C.F.R. § 2.345. *Accord* 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.323(e), 2.341(d) (referencing the standard in section 2.323(e)). Assuming that this standard applies to the Five Parties' motion, we observe that the Five Parties neither demonstrate a clear and material error in our decision nor raise any issue that could not reasonably have been anticipated. Further, as discussed *infra*, the Five Parties have not shown that any of the requested relief is required. The Five Parties have not, therefore, demonstrated any error that renders our decision invalid.

<sup>7</sup> Nevada Petition at 2-3.

<sup>8</sup> CLI-13-8, 78 NRC at \_\_\_ (slip op. at 18).

<sup>9</sup> Nevada Petition at 3.

evaluation performed to date may be adopted without further “investigation or inquiry,” or might include the Staff’s Technical Evaluation Reports.<sup>10</sup> We decline to revisit the SRM. As the Staff correctly observes, neither Nevada nor any other external entity is entitled to seek revisions to a Commission direction to the NRC staff contained in an SRM.<sup>11</sup> In any event, however, no clarification of this direction is needed. The Staff represents—and we expect—that it will undertake completion of its review activities, including development of its findings on the Safety Evaluation Report, consistent with “existing agency requirements and guidance.”<sup>12</sup> Further, as always, we expect the Staff to complete a robust review addressing all applicable regulatory requirements, with its analysis and conclusions documented in the SER, and for those working on the project to exercise their independent professional judgment in the performance of their duties.

#### **B. Five Parties’ Motion for Reconsideration**

The Five Parties seek greater detail on the licensing activities than we set forth in CLI-13-8, as well as additional information that they believe will help them to assess the merits of the course of action we have selected. They request, for example, “an order outlining a schedule of deadlines for issuance of the remaining [safety evaluation report volumes]”; “a detailed listing of what work remains on each individual [safety evaluation report volume], and an explanation for estimating that an additional twelve months is required”; and an “explanation

---

<sup>10</sup> *Id.* at 3-4.

<sup>11</sup> Staff Answer at 4 & nn. 9, 11 (citing Internal Commission Procedures (July 5, 2011) at II-9, III-11, *available at* <http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/policy-making/internal.html> (last visited Dec. 18, 2013). The cited provisions describe the contents of an SRM and the process for reviewing draft SRMs, respectively.

<sup>12</sup> See Staff Answer at 3-5 (citing “Yucca Mountain Review Plan,” NUREG-1804 (Rev. 2 July 2003) (ML032030389) and NRC Management Directive 3.57, “Correspondence Management” (Oct. 18, 2005) (ML053070034) (describing concurrence processes)). Further, the Staff represents that it is preparing review guidance that will address our direction on its conduct of this review. *Id.* at 4-5.

for why prompt issuance of the SERs, followed by staged discovery and adjudication of Phase I post-closure issues, is not achievable with available funds.”<sup>13</sup>

As we stated in CLI-13-8, the court in *Aiken County* “afforded us broad discretion in choosing a pragmatic course of action to resume the licensing process.” The course of action we selected complies with the fundamental direction of the D.C. Circuit—to resume the licensing process. As fully explained in CLI-13-8, by taking an incremental approach, we have attempted to ensure, to the maximum extent possible, that the next logical steps in the process are completed.<sup>14</sup> Although the petitioners in the *Aiken County* decision sought a broad mandamus order, nothing in the court’s decision required us to undertake a particular course of action, to conduct an accounting containing the level of detail sought by the Five Parties, or to subject the Staff’s estimates of the time required to perform its work to the scrutiny of third parties. We decline to order the Staff to do more than has been directed by the D.C. Circuit. Although we expect that the activities outlined in CLI-13-8 will expend “nearly all of the funds currently available to the NRC”<sup>15</sup>—leaving few, if any, funds for other licensing activities, including the resumption of the adjudication—we have committed to re-evaluate this conclusion “in the event that circumstances materially change.”<sup>16</sup> And as we have stated, we are closely monitoring the cost and progress of the Staff’s activities, and we will give direction for reprioritization of time and funds should estimates prove inaccurate. That is to say, in the event the NRC appears

---

<sup>13</sup> Five Parties Motion at 3, 4, 5.

<sup>14</sup> CLI-13-8, 78 NRC at \_\_ (slip op. at 9-12).

<sup>15</sup> *Id.* at \_\_ (slip op. at 21).

<sup>16</sup> *Id.* at \_\_ (slip op. at 22) (footnote omitted).

likely to exhaust funds prior to completing the activities we have directed, we will provide direction to the Staff to maximize completion of these activities.<sup>17</sup>

In short, in CLI-13-8 we outlined a course of action, necessarily predictive in nature, to complete the next logical steps in this licensing process. Our chosen path forward is consistent with the court's direction in *Aiken County* and the limited available funds, and further relief is not warranted.

\* \* \* \* \*

One other matter merits mention. In CLI-13-8, we observed that the agency had remaining \$2.5 million “in obligated, unexpended funds that would become available if contract audit activities are completed and these funds are eligible for subsequent [de-obligation].”<sup>18</sup> In December 2013, \$2.2 million in obligated, unexpended Nuclear Waste Fund appropriations were de-obligated and are now available for agency use.<sup>19</sup> Now that additional funds are available we are providing further direction to the Staff, consistent with CLI-13-8 and the companion SRM, on the use of those funds to make the Licensing Support Network document collection publicly available in the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System.<sup>20</sup>

---

<sup>17</sup> SRM at 2 (unnumbered) (instructing the Staff to provide monthly progress reports that will include “accomplishments, updated schedules for remaining activities, the cost of remaining activities, and stakeholder communications and interactions”). In addition, we are providing to Congress monthly reports on NRC activities and expenditure of unobligated carryover funds appropriated from the Nuclear Waste Fund. These reports are publicly available. See CLI-13-8, 78 NRC at \_\_\_ n.86 (slip op. at 22 n.86).

<sup>18</sup> CLI-13-8, 78 NRC at \_\_\_ (slip op. at 10 n.35).

<sup>19</sup> The remaining balance of approximately \$300,000 is reserved to cover any emergent costs identified during the ongoing contract closeout process.

<sup>20</sup> CLI-13-8, 78 NRC at \_\_\_ (slip op. at 13 n.47); SRM at 2 (unnumbered). We provide this direction separately. See Staff Requirements—SECY-13-0138/SECY-13-0138A—U.S. Department of Energy (High-Level Waste Repository): State of Nevada Petition for Clarification of November 18, 2013 Restart Order and Related Staff Requirements Memorandum (Nov. 27, 2013); “Five Parties” Motion for Reconsideration of Memorandum and Order (Nov. 27, 2013) (Jan. 24, 2014) (ML14024A265).

In light of the uncertainties inherent in cost projections, we reiterate that we continue to closely monitor all ongoing activities and Nuclear Waste Fund expenditures to ensure effective implementation of our direction and prudent use of funds.<sup>21</sup>

## II. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, we *deny* Nevada's and the Five Parties' requests.

IT IS SO ORDERED.<sup>22</sup>

For the Commission

**NRC SEAL**

/RA/

Annette L. Vietti-Cook  
Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland  
this 24<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2014.

---

<sup>21</sup> CLI-13-8, 78 NRC at \_\_\_ (slip op. at 22 & n.87); SRM at 2 (unnumbered).

<sup>22</sup> Commissioner Apostolakis has recused himself from this adjudication and, therefore, did not participate in this matter. See Notice of Recusal (July 15, 2010).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of )

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY )  
(High-Level Waste Repository) )

) Docket No. 63-001-HLW  
) ASLBP No. 09-892-HLW-CAB04  
)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing **COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER CLI-14-01, "DECISION ON STATE OF NEVADA REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND 'FIVE PARTIES' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION,"** have been served upon the following persons by Electronic Information Exchange and by e-mail. Some participants do not have current digital certificates.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLBP)  
Mail Stop T-3F23  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

**Construction Authorization Board 04 (CAB04)**

Thomas S. Moore, Chair  
Administrative Judge  
[thomas.moore@nrc.gov](mailto:thomas.moore@nrc.gov)

Paul S. Ryerson  
Administrative Judge  
[paul.ryerson@nrc.gov](mailto:paul.ryerson@nrc.gov)

Richard E. Wardwell  
Administrative Judge  
[richard.wardwell@nrc.gov](mailto:richard.wardwell@nrc.gov)

Carter Thurman, Law Clerk  
[carter.thurman@nrc.gov](mailto:carter.thurman@nrc.gov)

Anthony C. Eitrem, Esq., Chief Counsel  
[anthony.eitrem@nrc.gov](mailto:anthony.eitrem@nrc.gov)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of the General Counsel  
Mail Stop O-15D21  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
Jessica Bielecki, Esq.

[jessica.bielecki@nrc.gov](mailto:jessica.bielecki@nrc.gov)

Joseph S. Gilman, Esq.

[joseph.gilman@nrc.gov](mailto:joseph.gilman@nrc.gov)

Daniel W. Lenehan, Esq.

[daniel.lenehan@nrc.gov](mailto:daniel.lenehan@nrc.gov)

Megan A. Wright, Esq.

[megan.wright@nrc.gov](mailto:megan.wright@nrc.gov)

Mitzi A. Young, Esq.

[mitzi.young@nrc.gov](mailto:mitzi.young@nrc.gov)

Shelbie Lewman, Esq.

[shelbie.lewman@nrc.gov](mailto:shelbie.lewman@nrc.gov)

Eric Michel, Esq.

[eric.michel@nrc.gov](mailto:eric.michel@nrc.gov)

OGC Mail Center  
[OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov](mailto:OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication  
Mail Stop O-7H4M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
OCA Mail Center  
[oca@mail@nrc.gov](mailto:oca@mail@nrc.gov)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of the Secretary of the Commission  
Mail Stop O-16C1  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
Hearing Docket  
[hearingdocket@nrc.gov](mailto:hearingdocket@nrc.gov)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (High Level Waste Repository) Docket No. 63-001-HLW  
**COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER CLI-14-01, "DECISION ON STATE OF NEVADA REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND 'FIVE PARTIES' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION"**

U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of General Counsel  
1000 Independence Avenue S.W.  
Washington, DC 20585  
Martha S. Crosland, Esq.  
[martha.crosland@hq.doe.gov](mailto:martha.crosland@hq.doe.gov)  
Nicholas P. DiNunzio, Esq.  
[nick.dinunzio@rw.doe.gov](mailto:nick.dinunzio@rw.doe.gov)  
James Bennett McRae  
[ben.mcrae@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ben.mcrae@hq.doe.gov)  
Cyrus Nezhad, Esq.  
[cyrus.nezhad@hq.doe.gov](mailto:cyrus.nezhad@hq.doe.gov)  
Christina C. Pak, Esq.  
[christina.pak@hq.doe.gov](mailto:christina.pak@hq.doe.gov)

Office of Counsel, Naval Sea Systems Command  
Nuclear Propulsion Program  
1333 Isaac Hull Avenue, SE, Building 197  
Washington, DC 20376  
Frank A. Putzu, Esq.  
[frank.putzu@navy.mil](mailto:frank.putzu@navy.mil)

For U.S. Department of Energy  
Talisman International, LLC  
1000 Potomac St., NW, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20007  
Patricia Larimore, Senior Paralegal  
[plarimore@talisman-intl.com](mailto:plarimore@talisman-intl.com)

Counsel for U.S. Department of Energy  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
Lewis M. Csedrik, Esq.  
[lcshedrik@morganlewis.com](mailto:lcshedrik@morganlewis.com)  
Raphael P. Kuyler, Esq.  
[rkuyler@morganlewis.com](mailto:rkuyler@morganlewis.com)  
Charles B. Moldenhauer, Esq.  
[cmoldenhauer@morganlewis.com](mailto:cmoldenhauer@morganlewis.com)  
Thomas D. Poindexter, Esq.  
[tpoindexter@morganlewis.com](mailto:tpoindexter@morganlewis.com)  
Alex S. Polonsky, Esq.  
[apolonsky@morganlewis.com](mailto:apolonsky@morganlewis.com)  
Thomas A. Schmutz, Esq.  
[tschmutz@morganlewis.com](mailto:tschmutz@morganlewis.com)  
Donald J. Silverman, Esq.  
[dsilverman@morganlewis.com](mailto:dsilverman@morganlewis.com)  
Paul J. Zaffuts, Esq.  
[pzaffuts@morganlewis.com](mailto:pzaffuts@morganlewis.com)  
Shannon Staton, Legal Secretary  
[sstaton@morganlewis.com](mailto:sstaton@morganlewis.com)  
Elaine M. Hirsch, Legal Secretary  
[ehirsch@morganlewis.com](mailto:ehirsch@morganlewis.com)

Counsel for U.S. Department of Energy  
Hunton & Williams LLP  
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower  
951 East Byrd Street  
Richmond, VA 23219  
Kelly L. Faglioni, Esq.  
[kfaglioni@hunton.com](mailto:kfaglioni@hunton.com)  
Donald P. Irwin, Esq.  
[dirwin@hunton.com](mailto:dirwin@hunton.com)  
Stephanie Meharg, Paralegal  
[smeharg@hunton.com](mailto:smeharg@hunton.com)  
Michael R. Shebelskie, Esq.  
[mshebelskie@hunton.com](mailto:mshebelskie@hunton.com)  
Belinda A. Wright, Sr. Professional Assistant  
[bwright@hunton.com](mailto:bwright@hunton.com)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (High Level Waste Repository) Docket No. 63-001-HLW  
**COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER CLI-14-01, "DECISION ON STATE OF NEVADA REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND 'FIVE PARTIES' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION"**

Counsel for State of Nevada  
Egan, Fitzpatrick, Malsch & Lawrence, PLLC  
1750 K Street, NW, Suite 350  
Washington, DC 20006  
Martin G. Malsch, Esq.  
[mmalsch@nuclearlawyer.com](mailto:mmalsch@nuclearlawyer.com)  
Susan Montesi:  
[smontesi@nuclearlawyer.com](mailto:smontesi@nuclearlawyer.com)

Counsel for State of Nevada  
Egan, Fitzpatrick, Malsch & Lawrence, PLLC  
1777 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 600  
San Antonio, TX 78217  
Charles J. Fitzpatrick, Esq.  
[cfitzpatrick@nuclearlawyer.com](mailto:cfitzpatrick@nuclearlawyer.com)  
John W. Lawrence, Esq.  
[jlawrence@nuclearlawyer.com](mailto:jlawrence@nuclearlawyer.com)  
Laurie Borski, Paralegal  
[lborski@nuclearlawyer.com](mailto:lborski@nuclearlawyer.com)

Bureau of Government Affairs  
Nevada Attorney General  
100 N. Carson Street  
Carson City, NV 89701  
Marta Adams, Chief Deputy Attorney General  
[madams@ag.nv.gov](mailto:madams@ag.nv.gov)

Nevada Agency for Nuclear Projects  
Nuclear Waste Project Office  
1761 East College Parkway, Suite 118  
Carson City, NV 89706  
Steve Frishman, Tech. Policy Coordinator  
[steve.frishman@gmail.com](mailto:steve.frishman@gmail.com)  
Susan Lynch, Administrator of Technical Prgms  
[szeeee@nuc.state.nv.us](mailto:szeeee@nuc.state.nv.us)

Nye County Regulatory/Licensing Advisor  
18160 Cottonwood Rd. #265  
Sunriver, OR 97707  
Malachy Murphy, Esq.  
[mrmurphy@chamberscable.com](mailto:mrmurphy@chamberscable.com)

Nye Co. Nuclear Waste Repository Project Office  
2101 E. Calvada Boulevard, Suite 100  
Pahrump, NV 89048  
Celeste Sandoval, Quality Assurance Records Spec.  
[csandoval@co.nye.nv.us](mailto:csandoval@co.nye.nv.us)

Counsel for Lincoln County, Nevada  
Whipple Law Firm  
1100 S. Tenth Street  
Las Vegas, NV 89017  
Annie Bailey, Legal Assistant  
[baileys@lcturbonet.com](mailto:baileys@lcturbonet.com)  
Adam L. Gill, Esq.  
[adam.whipplelaw@yahoo.com](mailto:adam.whipplelaw@yahoo.com)  
Eric Hinckley, Law Clerk  
[erichinckley@yahoo.com](mailto:erichinckley@yahoo.com)  
Bret Whipple, Esq.  
[bretwhipple@nomademail.com](mailto:bretwhipple@nomademail.com)

Lincoln County District Attorney  
P. O. Box 60  
Pioche, NV 89403  
Gregory Barlow, Esq.  
[lcd@lcturbonet.com](mailto:lcd@lcturbonet.com)

Lincoln County Nuclear Oversight Program  
P.O. Box 1068  
Caliente, NV 89008  
Connie Simkins, Coordinator  
[jcciac@co.lincoln.nv.us](mailto:jcciac@co.lincoln.nv.us)

For Lincoln County, Nevada  
Intertech Services Corporation  
PO Box 2008  
Carson City, NV 89702  
Mike Baughman, Consultant  
[mikebaughman@charter.net](mailto:mikebaughman@charter.net)

Counsel for Nye, County, Nevada  
601 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
North Building, Suite 1000  
Washington, DC 20004  
Robert Andersen, Esq.  
[randersen@clarkhill.com](mailto:randersen@clarkhill.com)  
Christopher Clare, Esq.  
[cclare@clarkhill.com](mailto:cclare@clarkhill.com)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (High Level Waste Repository) Docket No. 63-001-HLW  
**COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER CLI-14-01, "DECISION ON STATE OF NEVADA REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND 'FIVE PARTIES' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION"**

Clark County, Nevada  
500 S. Grand Central Parkway  
Las Vegas, NV 98155  
Phil Klevatorick, Sr. Mgmt Analyst  
[klevatorick@clarkcountynv.gov](mailto:klevatorick@clarkcountynv.gov)  
Elizabeth A. Vibert, Deputy District Attorney  
[Elizabeth.Vibert@ccdavn.com](mailto:Elizabeth.Vibert@ccdavn.com)

Counsel for Eureka County, Nevada  
Harmon, Curran, Speilberg & Eisenberg, LLP  
1726 M. Street N.W., Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20036  
Diane Curran, Esq.  
[dcurran@harmoncurran.com](mailto:dcurran@harmoncurran.com)

Eureka County, Nevada  
Office of the District Attorney  
701 S. Main Street, Box 190  
Eureka, NV 89316-0190  
Theodore Beutel, District Attorney  
[tbeutel.ecda@eurekanv.org](mailto:tbeutel.ecda@eurekanv.org)

Nuclear Waste Advisory for Eureka  
County, Nevada  
1983 Maison Way  
Carson City, NV 89703  
Abigail Johnson, Consultant  
[eurekanrc@gmail.com](mailto:eurekanrc@gmail.com)

For White Pine County, Nevada  
Intertech Services Corporation  
PO Box 2008  
Carson City, NV 89702  
Mike Baughman, Consultant  
[mikebaughman@charter.net](mailto:mikebaughman@charter.net)

For Eureka County, Nevada  
NWOP Consulting, Inc.  
1705 Wildcat Lane  
Ogden, UT 84403  
Loreen Pitchford, Consultant  
[lpitchford@comcast.net](mailto:lpitchford@comcast.net)

Eureka County Public Works  
PO Box 714  
Eureka, NV 89316  
Ronald Damele, Director  
[rdamele@eurekanv.org](mailto:rdamele@eurekanv.org)

Counsel for Churchill, Esmeralda, Lander,  
and Mineral Counties, Nevada

Armstrong Teasdale, LLP  
1975 Village Center Circle, Suite 140  
Las Vegas, NV 89134-6237  
Tara Baugh  
[tbaugh@armstrongteasdale.com](mailto:tbaugh@armstrongteasdale.com)

Kolesar & Leatham  
1975 Village Center Circle, Suite 140  
Las Vegas, NV 89134  
Robert F. List, Esq.  
[rlist@klnevada.com](mailto:rlist@klnevada.com)

Esmeralda County Repository Oversight Program-  
Yucca Mountain Project  
PO Box 490  
Goldfield, NV 89013  
Edwin Mueller, Director  
[muellered@msn.com](mailto:muellered@msn.com)

Mineral County Nuclear Projects Office  
P.O. Box 1600  
Hawthorne, NV 89415  
Linda Mathias, Director  
[yuccainfo@mineralcountynv.org](mailto:yuccainfo@mineralcountynv.org)

For Lincoln and White Pine County, Nevada  
Jason Pitts, LSN Administrator  
P.O. Box 126  
Caliente, NV 89008  
[jayson@idtsservices.com](mailto:jayson@idtsservices.com)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (High Level Waste Repository) Docket No. 63-001-HLW  
**COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER CLI-14-01, "DECISION ON STATE OF NEVADA REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND 'FIVE PARTIES' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION"**

For White Pine County, Nevada  
Kelly Brown, District Attorney  
801 Clark Street, Suite 3  
Ely, NV 89301  
[kbrown@mwpower.net](mailto:kbrown@mwpower.net)

California Energy Commission  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Kevin, W. Bell, Senior Staff Counsel  
[kwbell@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:kwbell@energy.state.ca.us)

White Pine Co. Nuclear Waste Project Ofc  
959 Campton Street  
Ely, NV 89301  
Mike Simon, Director  
[wpnucwst1@mwpower.net](mailto:wpnucwst1@mwpower.net)

California Department of Justice  
Office of the Attorney General  
1300 I Street, PO Box 944255  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550  
Michele Mercado, Analyst  
[michele.Mercado@doj.ca.gov](mailto:michele.Mercado@doj.ca.gov)

Counsel for Inyo County, California  
Gregory L. James, Attorney at Law  
712 Owens Gorge Road  
HC 79, Box  
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546  
E-Mail: [gljames@earthlink.net](mailto:gljames@earthlink.net)

California Department of Justice  
Office of the Attorney General  
1515 Clay Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Fl, PO Box 70550  
Oakland, CA 94612-0550  
Timothy E. Sullivan, Deputy Attorney General  
[timothy.Sullivan@doj.ca.gov](mailto:timothy.Sullivan@doj.ca.gov)

Counsel for Inyo County, California  
Law Office of Michael Berger  
479 El Sueno Road  
Santa Barbara, CA 93110  
Michael Berger, Esq.  
[michael@lawofficeofmichaelberger.com](mailto:michael@lawofficeofmichaelberger.com)  
Robert Hanna, Esq.  
[robert@lawofficeofmichaelberger.com](mailto:robert@lawofficeofmichaelberger.com)

California Department of Justice  
Office of the Attorney General  
300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Brian Hembacher, Deputy Attorney General  
[brian.hembacher@doj.ca.gov](mailto:brian.hembacher@doj.ca.gov)

Inyo Co Yucca Mtn Repository Assessment Ofc  
P. O. Box 367  
Independence, CA 93526-0367  
Cathreen Richards, Associate Planner  
[crichards@inyocounty.us](mailto:crichards@inyocounty.us)

Counsel for State of South Carolina  
Davidson & Lindemann, P.A.  
1611 Devonshire Drive  
P.O. Box 8568  
Columbia, SC 29202  
Kenneth P. Woodington, Esq.  
[kwoodington@dml-law.com](mailto:kwoodington@dml-law.com)

Counsel for State of Washington  
Office of the Attorney General  
P. O. Box 40117  
Olympia, WA 98504-0117  
Todd R. Bowers, Esq.  
[toddb@atg.wa.gov](mailto:toddb@atg.wa.gov)  
Andrew A. Fitz, Esq.  
[andyf@atg.wa.gov](mailto:andyf@atg.wa.gov)  
Michael L. Dunning, Esq.  
[michaeld@atg.wa.gov](mailto:michaeld@atg.wa.gov)  
H. Lee Overton, Esq.  
[leo1@atg.wa.gov](mailto:leo1@atg.wa.gov)  
Danielle French, Esq.  
[daniellef@atg.wa.gov](mailto:daniellef@atg.wa.gov)  
Teresa Trippel, Esq.  
[teresat@atg.wa.gov](mailto:teresat@atg.wa.gov)

Counsel for Aiken County, SC  
Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, PA  
1201 Main Street, Suite 2200  
P. O. Box 11889  
Columbia, SC 29211-1889  
Thomas R. Gottshall, Esq.  
[tgottshall@hsblawfirm.com](mailto:tgottshall@hsblawfirm.com)  
Ross Shealy, Esq.  
[rshealy@hsblawfirm.com](mailto:rshealy@hsblawfirm.com)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (High Level Waste Repository) Docket No. 63-001-HLW  
**COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER CLI-14-01, "DECISION ON STATE OF NEVADA REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND 'FIVE PARTIES' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION"**

Florida Public Service Commission  
Office of the General Counsel  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32303  
Cynthia Miller, Esq.  
[cmiller@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:cmiller@psc.state.fl.us)

Counsel for Native Community Action Council  
Alexander, Berkey, Williams & Weathers LLP  
2030 Addison Street, Suite 410  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
Curtis G. Berkey, Esq.  
[cberkey@abwwlaw.com](mailto:cberkey@abwwlaw.com)  
Rovianne A. Leigh, Esq.  
[rleigh@abwwlaw.com](mailto:rleigh@abwwlaw.com)  
Scott W. Williams, Esq.  
[swilliams@abwwlaw.com](mailto:swilliams@abwwlaw.com)

Native Community Action Council  
P.O. Box 140  
Baker, NV 89311  
Ian Zabarte, Member of Board of Directors  
[mrizabarte@gmail.com](mailto:mrizabarte@gmail.com)

Counsel for Prairie Island Indian Community  
Public Law Resource Center PLLC  
505 N. Capitol Avenue  
Lansing, MI 48933  
Don L. Keskey, Esq.  
[donkeskey@publiclawresourcecenter.com](mailto:donkeskey@publiclawresourcecenter.com)

Prairie Island Indian Community Legal Department  
5636 Sturgeon Lake Road  
Welch, MN 55089  
Philip R. Mahowald, Esq.  
[pmahowald@piic.org](mailto:pmahowald@piic.org)

Nuclear Energy Institute  
Office of the General Counsel  
1776 I Street, NW Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20006-3708  
Jerry Bonanno, Esq.  
[jxb@nei.org](mailto:jxb@nei.org)  
Anne W. Cottingham, Esq.  
[awc@nei.org](mailto:awc@nei.org)  
Ellen C. Ginsberg, Esq.  
[ecg@nei.org](mailto:ecg@nei.org)

Counsel for Nuclear Energy Institute  
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP  
2300 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20037-1122  
Jay E. Silberg, Esq.  
[jay.silberg@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:jay.silberg@pillsburylaw.com)  
Timothy J.V. Walsh, Esq.  
[timothy.walsh@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:timothy.walsh@pillsburylaw.com)

Counsel for Nuclear Energy Institute  
Winston & Strawn LLP  
1700 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006-3817  
William A. Horin, Esq.  
[whorin@winston.com](mailto:whorin@winston.com)  
Rachel Miras-Wilson, Esq.  
[rwilson@winston.com](mailto:rwilson@winston.com)  
David A. Repka, Esq.  
[drepka@winston.com](mailto:drepka@winston.com)  
Carlos L. Sisco, Senior Paralegal  
[csisco@winston.com](mailto:csisco@winston.com)

Counsel for National Association of Regulatory  
Utility Commissioners (NARUC)  
1101 Vermont Avenue, Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20005  
James Ramsay, Esq.  
[jramsay@naruc.org](mailto:jramsay@naruc.org)  
Robin Lunt, Esq.  
[rlunt@naruc.org](mailto:rlunt@naruc.org)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (High Level Waste Repository) Docket No. 63-001-HLW  
**COMMISSION MEMORANDUM AND ORDER CLI-14-01, "DECISION ON STATE OF NEVADA REQUEST  
FOR CLARIFICATION AND 'FIVE PARTIES' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION"**

Counsel for Joint Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Group  
Fredericks, Peebles, & Morgan LLP  
1001 Second St.  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Felicia M. Brooks, Data Administrator  
[fbrooks@ndnlaw.com](mailto:fbrooks@ndnlaw.com)  
Ross D. Colburn, Law Clerk  
[rcolburn@ndnlaw.com](mailto:rcolburn@ndnlaw.com)  
Sally Eredia, Legal Secretary  
[seredia@ndnlaw.com](mailto:seredia@ndnlaw.com)  
Darcie L. Houck, Esq.  
[dhouck@ndnlaw.com](mailto:dhouck@ndnlaw.com)  
Brian Niegemann, Office Manager  
[bniegemann@ndnlaw.com](mailto:bniegemann@ndnlaw.com)  
John M. Peebles, Esq.  
[jpeebles@ndnlaw.com](mailto:jpeebles@ndnlaw.com)  
Robert Rhoan, Esq.  
[rrhoan@ndnlaw.com](mailto:rrhoan@ndnlaw.com)

Fredericks, Peebles, & Morgan LLP  
3610 North 163<sup>rd</sup> Plaza  
Omaha, NE 68116  
Shane Thin Elk, Esq.  
[sthinelk@ndnlaw.com](mailto:sthinelk@ndnlaw.com)

For Joint Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Group  
Indian Village Road, P.O. Box 206  
Death Valley, CA 92328-0206  
Joe Kennedy, Executive Director  
[joekennedy08@live.com](mailto:joekennedy08@live.com)  
Tameka Vazquez, Bookkeeper  
[purpose\\_driven12@yahoo.com](mailto:purpose_driven12@yahoo.com)

[Original Signed by Brian Newell \_\_\_\_\_ ]  
Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland  
this 24<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2014